

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

INFLUENCE OF PERSONAL PREFERRED CREATIVE PROBLEM-SOLVING STYLE AND ORGANISATIONAL CREATIVITY FACTORS ON TYPES OF LATERAL THINKING

OW CHEE KIN, ALEX FPP 2009 13



INFLUENCE OF PERSONAL PREFERRED CREATIVE PROBLEM-SOLVING STYLE AND ORGANISATIONAL CREATIVITY FACTORS ON TYPES OF LATERAL THINKING

OW CHEE KIN, ALEX

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

2009



INFLUENCE OF PERSONAL PREFERRED CREATIVE PROBLEM-SOLVING STYLE AND ORGANISATIONAL CREATIVITY FACTORS ON TYPES OF LATERAL THINKING

By

OW CHEE KIN, ALEX

Thesis submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

October 2008



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

INFLUENCE OF PERSONAL PREFERRED

CREATIVE PROBLEM-SOLVING STYLE AND ORGANISATIONAL

CREATIVITY FACTORS ON TYPES OF LATERAL THINKING

By

OW CHEE KIN, ALEX

October 2008

Chairman:

Prof. Dr. Rahim Sail, PhD

Faculty:

Education Studies

There were numerous studies on creative thinking especially on individual creativity

but not on the types of lateral thinking. In this research, the general objective was to

develop a multi-dimensional model of organisational creativity and developed

instruments to measure the majority of the factors in the model. The specific

objectives were to examine the influence of personal preferred style in creative

problem solving and organisational creativity factors on the types of lateral thinking.

This study also aimed to explore to what extent the types of lateral thinking could

affect the decision outcomes.

ii

The research methodology used was a quantitative survey to test the theory that was hypothesized in the research framework. It involved 217 people across all departments at the supervisory, executive and managerial level from a sample of ten (10) organisations in Malaysia that has undergone creativity training by the researcher from the year 2000 to year 2004. Four (4) instruments were administered by the researcher namely Creative Process Inventory (CPI), Organisational Creativity Factors (OCF), Lateral Thinking Test (LTT) and Decision Making Outcomes (DMO).

The research indicated that personal preferred styles have no significant impact on the explanation of observed variances in the types of lateral thinking. However, the organisational creativity factors showed a significant association with a chi-square value of 30.61. This explained that there could be other factors that influenced the types of lateral thinking. The three variables that are significant predictors of novelty ideas were creativity training, idea implementation process and idea assessment process.

The model explained that the overall predictive accuracy was 68.2% of the types of lateral thinking, thus presenting a relatively good model of exogenous variables. Overall, the model correctly predicted 80.3% of the cases for novelty ideas and 52.6% for predicting effective ideas.

The recommendations for organisations were to train their executives in creative thinking, applications of effective ideas and a chance in leading a problem solving session, setting up creativity assessment and implementation policies. The



recommendations for future research were to identify other factors that may affect individual's preferred styles, types of lateral thinking, and how these affect the decision outcomes.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

PENGARUH GAYA PERIBADI PILIHAN PENYELESAIAN MASALAH

KREATIF DAN FAKTOR-FAKTOR KREATIF ORGANISASI TERHADAP

JENIS-JENIS PEMIKIRAN LATERAL

Oleh

OW CHEE KIN, ALEX

Oktober 2008

Pengurusi:

Prof. Dr. Rahim Sail, PhD

Fakulti:

Pengajian Pendidikan

Terdapat banyak kajian di dalam pemikiran kreatif terutamanya kreativiti

keseorangan tetapi bukan ke atas jenis-jenis pemikiran lateral. Di dalam kajian ini,

objektif amnya ialah membangunkan satu model kreativiti organisasi yang bercorak

berbagai dimensi dan juga membangunkan soal selidik untuk mengukur faktor-

faktor mojoriti di dalam modal tersebut. Objektif spesifiknya ialah mengenalpasti

pengaruh gaya peribadi pilihan di dalam proses penyelesaian masalah dan faktor-

faktor kreatif organisasi terhadap jenis-jenis pemikiran lateral dan juga

mengenalpasti sejuah manakah idea -idea pemikiran lateral memberi kesan kepada

hasil keputusan yang dibuat.

JPM A

V

Metodolgi kajian ialah menggunakan soal selidik kuantitatif untuk menguji teori yang dihipotesiskan di dalam rangka kajian. Ia melibatkan seramai 217 orang di semua jabatan dari tahap penyelia, pegawai sehingga ke tahap pengurus dari sepuluh (10) sampel organisasi di Malaysia yang telah menjalani latihan kreativiti oleh penyelidik dari tahun 2000 ke 2004. Tedapat empat soal selidik yang digunakan oleh penyelidik iaitu "Creative Process Inventory (CPI)", "Organisational Creativity Factors (OCF)", "Lateral Thinking Test (LTT)" dan "Decision Making Outcomes (DMO)"

Kajian ini menunjukkan gaya peribadi pilihan tiada impak signifikan terhadap penjelasan varian di dalam jenis-jenis pemikiran lateral. Walau bagaimanpun, faktor-faktor kreatif organisasi menunjukkan korelasi signifikan dengan nilai chi-square 30.61 yang menunjukkan bahawa model tersebut hanya sederhana padan. Ini mungkin kerana terdapat faktor-faktor lain mempergaruhi kreativiti didalam organisasi. Tiga pembolehubah peramal signifikan "idea novelty" di dalam pemikiran lateral adalah latihan kreatif, proses perlaksanan idea dan proses penaksiran idea.

Model kajian ini menjelaskan ketepatan ramalan adalah 68.2% dari jenis-jenis pemikiran lateral, maka ini menggambarkan perbandingan suatu kewujudan model angkubah yang bererti. Secara keseluruhannya, model ini meramalkan 80.3% ketepatan kes-kes idea novelty dan 52.6% di dalam ramalan idea-idea efektif.



Cadangan kepada organisasi adalah melatih eksekutifnya di dalam pemikiran kreativiti, aplikasi idea-idea yang efektif, memberi peluang untuk mengetuai sesi penyelesaian masalah, menyediakan sistem dan polisi penaksiran dan perlaksanaan kreativiti. Cadangan untuk kajian lanjutan adalah membangunkan dan mengenalpasti faktor-faktor yang lain yang mempergaruhi gaya peribadi pilihan, jenis-jenis pemikiran lateral, dan bagaimanakah faktor-faktor tersebut mempergaruhi hasil-hasil keputusan.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am fortunate to have had the opportunity to learn empirical research in this programme. At the same time, I am indebted to many people. Foremost, among these is my supervisory committee comprising Professor Dr. Rahim Md Sail, Associate Professor Dr. Jegak Uli and Dr. Shamsuddin Ahmad and many others at the Faculty especially Prof. Dr. Aminah, Dr. Maznah and Dr. Bahaman who have extended their great support and advise throughout the programme.

I am also grateful for the detailed and thoughtful comments from distinguished scholars. They have relentlessly provided inputs in assisting me with this study especially in the survey instruments. I also like to express my gratitude to them in the deeper understanding on the analysis in the study.

By the same token, I also acknowledge my debt to the many senior managers and human resource managers with whom I have recently met in the industry without which this study would not have materialized. These professionals were extremely helpful in granting me permission to conduct this research at their respective plants, the multinational corporations and large local corporations, some in exchange for executive summary and some for their valuable insights into the industry, all of which have shown great hospitality. Appreciation also goes to the selected organisations officials, especially the graduates of creative thinking and lateral thinking training for their cooperation and efforts in rendering me the database.



Additionally, I had the privilege of earnest support and generosity of my colleagues and especially the senior staff of my company, Infor Resources System Sdn Bhd who have in their own way supported and assisted me to make this study possible.

On a more personal level, it is a fitting tribute to my wife and children, my parents and friends for their patience, unfailing love and support, concern, and encouragement. To all these people, I would like to say, from the bottom of my heart, Thank You and May God Bless You Always.



I certify that an Examination Committee met on the 15th October 2008 to conduct the final examination of Ow Chee Kin, Alex on his Doctor of Philosophy thesis entitled "Personal Preferred Styles and Organisational Creativity Factors in Influencing Different Types of Lateral Thinking" in accordance with Universiti Putra Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Putra Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

Abu Daud Silong, PhD

Professor Faculty of Educational Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Rosini Abu, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Educational Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Rohani Ahmad Tarmizi, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Educational Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Rosemary S. Caffarella, PhD

Cornell Department of Education Ithaca, New York, USA (External Examiner)

Hasanah, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:



This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Rahim Md Sail, PhD

Professor Faculty of Educational Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairperson)

Jegak Uli, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Educational Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Shamsuddin Ahmad, PhD

Faculty of Educational Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

> HASANAH MOHD. GHAZALI, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 15 October 2008



DECLARATION

I hereby certify that the thesis is based on my or citations, which have been duly acknowledged previously or concurrently submitted for any deg	I. I also declare that it has not been
_	
	OW CHEE KIN, ALEX
Ι	Date:



TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
ABS	STRACT	ii
ABS	STRAK	V
ACl	KNOWLEDGEMENTS	viii
API	PROVAL	X
DE	CLARATION	xii
	BLE OF CONTENTS	xiii
	T OF TABLES	xvii
	T OF FIGURES	xix
LIS	T OF ABBREVIATIONS	XX
CH	APTER	
I	INTRODUCTION	1
	The Problem and Its Context	1
	Problem Statement	7
	Objectives of the Study	11
	General Objective	11
	Specific Objectives	11
	Statistical Hypotheses	12
	Significance of the Study	13
	Assumptions	21
	Limitations	22
	Definitions of Terms	23
	Operational Definitions of Terms	25
II	REVIEW OF LITERATURE	27
	Creative Thinking and Lateral Thinking	27
	Two Viewpoints on Creativity	27
	Concept of Creative Thinking and Lateral Thinking	28
	Origins and Theory of Creative Thinking and Lateral Thinking	32
	Theories of Creative Problem Solving	36
	Novelty and Effective Ideas	40
	Types of Problems	42
	Types of Lateral Thinking Ideas	44
	Selection and Evaluation of Ideas	46
	The Manager's Styles in Creative Problem Solving	47
	Factors Affecting Organisational Creativity	51
	Summary of Creative Thinking	55
	Decision Making	56
	Theoretical Background	57
	Decision Making	57



	Empirical Research	62
	Recent Studies and Scholarly View Relating to Styles of Problem	
	Solving	65
	Recent Studies and Scholarly View Relating to Organisational	
	Creativity	68
	Summary of Decision Making	71
	Relationship Between Creative Thinking / Lateral Thinking	
	and Decision-Making	75
	Lateral Thinking and Decision-Making	76
	Creativity Tests	77
	Creativity Tests Relating to Creative Person	78
	Biographical Inventories	78
	Special Personal Properties	79
	Creativity Tests Relating to Creative Process	83
	Test Relating to Creative Products	86
	Personal Preferred Styles Tests	86
	Organisational Creativity Factors Test	91
	Conclusion of Creativity Tests	95
	Gaps in the Existing Literature	97
	Purpose of the Present Study	98
III	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	100
	Theoretical and Research Framework	100
	Measurement and Instrumentation	104
	Research Design	104
	Population	104
	Sample Size and Power Analysis	106
	Sampling Procedures	108
	Instrumentation	110
	The Creative Process Inventory (CPI)	111
	Scoring of the Tests	111
	Organisational Creativity Factors (OCF) Instrument	112
	Lateral Thinking Test (LTT) Instrument	114
	Decision Outcomes (DO) Instrument	116
	Pre-Testing of Instruments	117
	Data Collection	121
	Statistical Tests	124
	The Chi-square (χ^2) Test of Independence	126
	Pearson Product-Moment Correlation	127
	Logistic Regression	128
	Exploratory Data Analysis	129
IV	FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS	140
- •	Findings and Discussions	140
	Demographic Profile of the Respondents	143
	Gender	143
	Age Group	144
	Inh Position	145



	The Personal Preferred Styles in Creative Problem Solving	145
	The Types of Lateral Thinking Used by the Executives	148
	The Level of Organizational Creativity Factors Perceived	
	by the Executives	151
	Level of Creativity Mechanism	151
	Level of Creativity Training	153
	Level of Idea Development Process	154
	Level of Idea Implementation Process	155
	Level of Idea Assessment Process	156
	The Relationship between Personal Preferred Style in Creative	100
	Problem Solving and the Types of Lateral Thinking	157
	The Preferred Styles in Creative Problem Solving by Gender	159
	The Preferred Styles in Creative Problem Solving by Age Group	162
	The Preferred Styles in Creative Problem Solving by Job Position	164
	The Extend to which Organizational Creativity Factors Influence on	104
	Types of Lateral Thinking	167
		107
	The Extend to which Personal Preferred Style and Organizational	173
	Creativity Factors Influence the Types of Lateral Thinking	1/3
	To What Extent the Types of Lateral Thinking Influence or	101
	Determine Decision-Making Outcomes	181
	Focus	186
	Decision Characteristics	187
	Alternative Ideas	189
	Decision Process	191
	The Multi-Dimensional Model of Organisation Creativity and the	
	Three Research Instruments in Measuring the Majority of the	
	Factors Included in the Model	193
\mathbf{V}	SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	195
	Introduction	195
	Research Summary	195
	Research Questions	195
	Research Objectives	197
	Research Methodology	198
	Summary of Major Findings and Conclusions	201
	Findings and Conclusions of the Preferred Style, Organisational	
	Creativity Factors and Types of Lateral Thinking	204
	Findings and Conclusions of the Semi-Structured Interview	210
	Implications	212
	Theoretical Implications	214
	Recommendations	216
	Recommendations for Practice	216
	Recommendations for Future Research	219
REE	ERENCES	223
	PENDICES	235
4 3 4	A – Creative Process Inventory (CPI)	235
	B – Organisational Creativity Factors (OCF) Questionnaire	244
	5 organisational creativity ractors (OCI) Questionnaire	477



C – Lateral Thinking Test (LTT) Questionnaire	250
D – Decision Making Outcomes (DMO) Questionnaire	255
BIODATA OF THE STUDENT	260



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Definitions of Creativity and Innovation	32
2	Theorists and Models of the Creative Problem Solving Process	36
3	Pre-Test Results for Creative Process Inventory and Organisational Creativity Factors	119
4	Pre-Test Results for Decision Making Outcomes	120
5	Demographics of the Sampled Organisations	124
6	The Rule of Thumb of Size / Magnitude / Strength of Correlation or Relationship	127
7	Magnitude of Relationship Between Two Variables	128
8	Tests of Normality (I)	130
9	Test of Normality (II)	131
10	Kolmogorov-Smirnov Statistics of Normality Test for the Variables	135
11	Demographic Profile of the Respondents – Gender, Age Group and Job Position	144
12	Statistics Level of Organisational Creativity Factors	152
13	The Preferred Style in Creative Problem Solving by the Types of Lateral Thinking	158
14	The Preferred Style in Creative Problem Solving by the Gender	160
15	The Preferred Style in Creative Problem Solving by the Age Group	164
16	The Preferred Style in Creative Problem Solving by the Job Position	165



17	Model Summary	168
18	Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients	168
19	Hosmer and Lemeshow Test	169
20	Variables not in the Equation	169
21	Classification Table	170
22	Variables in the Equation	170
23	Model Summary	174
24	Hosmer and Lemeshow Test	174
25	Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients	174
26	Model if Term Removed	175
27	Classification Table	176
28	Variables in the Equation	177
29	Variables not in the Equation	178
30	Demographic Profile of the Respondents – Gender, Age Group and Decision Outcome	181
31	Descriptive statistics of Each of the Independent Variables in Decision-Making Outcomes	183
32	Descriptive statistics of Each of the Independent Variables in Decision-Making Outcomes (n=30)	185



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	A Model of Processes related to Decision Making Outcomes	74
2	A Model for Classifying Creative Decision Outcomes	75
7	Theoretical Model of the Study	99
8	Research Framework	103
9	Normal Probability Plot of Clarifier	131
10	Normal Probability Plot of Ideator	132
11	Normal Probability Plot of Developer	132
12	Normal Probability Plot of Implementor	132
13	Normal Probability Plot of Creativity Mechanism	133
14	Normal Probability Plot of Creativity Training	133
15	Normal Probability Plot of Idea Development Process	133
16	Normal Probability Plot of Idea Implementation Process	134
17	Normal Probability Plot of Idea Assessment Process	134
18	Frequency Distribution of the Personal Preferred Styles in Creative Problem Solving used by the Executives	146
19	The Types of Lateral Thinking used by the Executives	149
20	Observed groups and predicted probability of membership for effective ideas.	172
21	Observed groups and predicted probability of membership for effective ideas.	180



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

PPS Personal Preferred Styles

CPI Creative Process Inventory

OCF Organisational Creativity Factors

CM Creative Mechanism

CT Creative Training

IIP Idea Implementation Process

IAP Idea Assessment Process

LTT Lateral Thinking Test

TLT Types of Lateral Thinking

DMO Decision Making Outcomes

FA Focus Area

DC Decision Characteristics

AI Alternative Ideas

DMP Decision Making Process

N Novelty Ideas

E Effective Ideas



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

The Problem and Its Context

The Ninth Plan is organised according to the thrusts of the National Mission. The following are the key highlights and programmes of the Ninth Plan under each of the five thrusts. Thrust 1 is to move the economy up the value chain; Thrust 2 is to raise the capacity for knowledge and innovation and nurture "first class mentality"; Thrust 3 is to address persistence social economic inequalities constructively and productively; Thrust 4 is to improve the standard and sustainability of quality of life and finally thrust 5 is to strengthen the institutional and implementation capacity.

In the Ninth Malaysian Plan, it re-examines the policies and strategies that were put in place, proposes changes in the development approaches, and introduces new policy initiatives. The changing domestic and global economic landscape, require initiatives to enhance national competitiveness and resilience. Hence in the Plan period, the macroeconomic will focus on sustaining growth and strengthening the economy's capacity to cushion against downside risks and shocks.

According to the Ninth Plan, the quality of the nation's human capital will be the most critical element in the achievement of the National Mission, and human capital development will be a key thrust in the Ninth Plan period. Human capital



development will be holistic; encompassing the acquisition of knowledge and skills or intellectual capital including science and technology (S&T) and entrepreneurial capabilities as well as the internalization of positive and progressive attitudes, values and ethics through education, training and lifelong learning. The focus is also in capacity building in order to develop knowledgeable, skilled and innovative human capital to drive a knowledge-based economy. Emphasis will also be given to develop human capital that is progressive in thinking and attitude with strong ethics and universal values as espoused by *Islam Hadhari*.

An innovation-based economy will include a commitment to a continual renewal of products, systems, processes, and people. Products and service leadership is one way to succeed in an innovation economy. The knowledge worker will function as a business unit and will be more motivated and inclined to self-learning whereas an entrepreneurial worker will apply his knowledge and creativity to create value in businesses.

The heightened competition within today's business climate has forced organisations to re-examine the assumptions of traditional theories of decision-making process. Established formulas for decision-making have become less applicable, because these formulas were based on principles in promoting and reflecting the stability of a previous era. Traditional procedures for routinising problem solving process through the use of structural systems have being challenged and shown to be inefficient. These shortcomings aroused from the failure of older theories need to incorporate the flexibility and adaptability required by organisations in the current era.



Given the challenges faced by today's organisations, the relevance of creativity to problem solving, decision-making and research and development is clear. To remain competitive, business can no longer follow time-tested formulas of precedent; the executives must be able to produce and be receptive to innovation, which is synonymous here with creativity in decision-making process. Making the right decision at the right time is crucial in staying ahead in competition. Henceforth, executives need to learn on how to use creativity in making right decisions with limited resources, within their capabilities and also following the intuition abilities (Mason & Mitroff, 1981; Martin, 1993; Hayashi, 2001).

How can study on creative thinking or lateral thinking help us to understand types of lateral thinking in influencing decision outcomes? Consider first the thinking process. Thinking is so important to make things happen. When we do not have enough information, we have to think. Likewise, when we have too much information, we have to think so as to make a valid decision. At times, when there are many alternatives or choices of action, we still have to think. Therefore, continuing education and training and development activities always play a very important role in equipping the adult learners and company executives with the relevant knowledge and skills. Company executives with different leadership styles can be trained in creative thinking and using creativity in making the right decisions so that they are more prepared to make use of the available information wisely (Amabile, 1997; Jung, 2001)

